

# FP3b

December 4, 2024

## 1 Rappel/compléments C2: définition de types

### 1. Type “linéaire”

```
[11]: type 't List =
| Nil
| Cons of 't * ('t List)

let l:int List = Cons (3,Cons (1,Cons(2,Nil)))
printfn "%A" l
```

Cons (3, Cons (1, Cons (2, Nil)))

### 2. Type “arborescent”

```
[12]: type 't Tree =
| Leaf
| Node of 't * ('t Tree) * ('t Tree)

let t:int Tree = Node (2,Node(1,Leaf,Leaf),Node(3,Leaf,Leaf))
printfn "%A" t
```

Node (2, Node (1, Leaf, Leaf), Node (3, Leaf, Leaf))

### 3. Transformation “générique” (& isomorphisme)

#### a. Sur les listes

```
[26]: let rec redlist c f l =
  match l with
  | Nil      -> c
  | Cons (v,l2) -> f v (redlist c f l2)

let list = redlist [] (fun v l -> v::l)

printfn "%A" (list 1)
```

[3; 1; 2]

```
[27]: let list1 = List.fold (fun l v -> Cons (v,l)) Nil
printfn "%A" (list1 [3;1;3])
```

```
Cons (3, Cons (1, Cons (3, Nil)))
```

b. Sur les arbres

```
[15]: let rec redtree c f t =
  match t with
  | Leaf      -> c
  | Node (v,l,r) -> f v (redtree c f l) (redtree c f r)

let flatten = redtree [] (fun v l r -> l@[v]@r)
printfn "%A" (flatten t)
```

```
[1; 2; 3]
```

```
[17]: let rec insert v t =
  match t with
  | Leaf      -> Node (v,Leaf,Leaf)
  | Node (v2,l,r) -> match (v<=v2) with
    | true  -> Node (v2,insert v l,r)
    | _      -> Node (v2,l,insert v r)
printfn "%A" (insert 4 t)
```

```
Node (2, Node (1, Leaf, Leaf), Node (3, Leaf, Node (4, Leaf, Leaf)))
```

```
[22]: let tree = redlist Leaf (fun v l -> insert v l)
printfn "%A" (tree 1)
```

```
Node (2, Node (1, Leaf, Leaf), Node (3, Leaf, Leaf))
```

```
[28]: printfn "%A" (flatten (tree (list1 [3;2;1])))
```

```
[1; 2; 3]
```

#### 4. Types “synonymes”

a. Map (Dictionnaires)

```
[38]: type Map<'k, 'v> = ('k * 'v) list

let d = [("le", "the"); ("homme", "guy"); ("petit", "small")]

let rec lookup k d =
  match d with
  | []          -> failwith "not found !"
  | (k2,v)::d2 -> match (k=k2) with
    | true  -> v
    | _      -> lookup k d2

printfn "%A" (List.map (fun k->lookup k d) ["le"; "petit"; "homme"])

["the"; "small"; "guy"]
```

```
[39]: type Map2<'k, 'v> = ('k * 'v) Tree
```

b. Arbres n-aires

```
[46]: type NTree<'t> = L of 't | N of 't*(list<NTree<'t>>)
```

```
let doc = N ("html", [N("body", [N("h1", [L "Welcome"]); N("p", [L "under\u2192construct"])]])])  
  
let rec html doc =  
  match doc with  
  | L v      -> v  
  | N (v,es) -> "<" + v + ">" + (List.reduce (+) (List.map html es)) + "</" + v + ">"  
  
printfn "%A" (html doc)
```

"<html><body><h1>Welcome</h1><p>under construct</p></body></html>"

## 2 Arbres “syntaxiques” (langages et interprétation)

Un *langage* est défini par une *grammaire* pouvant se représenter par un *type*.

Par exemple, représenter le *terme* t ci-dessous:

```
[48]: type T<'t> =  
  | Val of 't  
  | Add of T<'t>*T<'t>  
  | Mul of T<'t>*T<'t>  
  
let t = Mul(Val 1,Add (Val 2,Val 3))
```

Ex1. Proposer une fonction d'évaluation (interprétation) pour calculer la valeur de t

```
[49]: let rec eval = function  
  | Val v      -> v  
  | Add (t1,t2) -> (eval t1)+(eval t2)  
  | Mul (t1,t2) -> (eval t1)*(eval t2)  
  
printfn "%A" (eval t)
```

5

Ex2. En fait, les valeurs 1,2,3 correspondent à des valeurs logiques 1=true, 2=false, 3=true et les opérateurs Add/Mul à la conjonction/disjonction. Comment définir cette nouvelle interprétation ?

```
[52]: let ctx = [(1,true);(2,false);(3,true)]
```

```
let rec eval2 = function  
  | Val v      -> lookup v ctx  
  | Add (t1,t2) -> (eval2 t1) && (eval2 t2)
```

```

| Mul (t1,t2) -> (eval2 t1) || (eval2 t2)

printfn "%A" (eval2 t)

```

true

Ex3. Définir une fonction “générique” permettant de définir plus simplement/rapidement eval/eval2.

```
[53]: let rec interp v a m = function
  | Val x           -> v x
  | Add (t1,t2)     -> a (interp v a m t1) (interp v a m t2)
  | Mul (t1,t2)     -> m (interp v a m t1) (interp v a m t2)

let show = interp string (fun t1 t2->t1+"+"+t2) (fun t1 t2->t1+"*" +t2)
printfn "%A" (show t)
```

"1\*2+3"

Ex4. On désire travailler en “logique floue” dans laquelle les valeurs de vérité sont des valeurs de probabilité comprises entre 0 et 1. Par exemple, “1” est vrai seulement à 70%, “2” à 40% et “3” à 60%. Les opérateurs Add/Mul correspondent alors au min/max.

Comment définir cette nouvelle interprétation ?

```
[57]: let ctx = [(1,0.7);(2,0.4);(3,0.6)]

let eval3 = interp (fun v -> lookup v ctx) max min
printfn "%A" (eval3 t)
```

0.6

Ex5. On désire maintenant travailler en “logique ensembliste” et “1” correspond aux élèves de 1A:[“bob”,“kate”,“bill”], “2” aux élèves de IR:[“kate”,“max”] et “3” aux ASE:[“john”,“bill”].

Comment interpréter alors t ?

```
[59]: let ctx = [(1,"1A");(2,"IR");(3,"ASE")]

let eval4 = interp (fun v->lookup v ctx) (fun t1 t2 -> "("+t1+" or "+t2+")")
  ↵(fun t1 t2 -> "("+t1+" and "+t2+"))"

printfn "%A" (eval4 t)
```

"(1A and (IR or ASE))"

Ex6. Comment trouver alors la valeur de cette expression ?

```
[63]: let ctx = [(1,["bob";"kate";"bill"]);(2,["kate";"max"]);(3,["john";"bill"])] 

let eval5 = interp (fun v->Set.ofList (lookup v ctx)) Set.union Set.intersect
printfn "%A" (eval5 t)
```

```
set ["bill"; "kate"]
```